

Sprint Nextel401 9th Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004
Office: (202) 585-1910 Fax: (202) 585-1897

John E. Benedict Director Government Affairs

June 30, 2006

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Communication

Petition of Time Warner Cable for Declaratory Ruling that Competitive Local Exchange Carriers May Obtain Interconnection Under Section 251 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended, to Provide Wholesale Telecommunications Services to VoIP Providers

WC Docket No. 06-55

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 30, 2006, Vonya McCann, Charles McKee, and I met at the Wireline Competition Bureau on behalf of Sprint Nextel Corporation with Jeremy Miller, Deputy Chief of the Competition Policy Division; Jennifer Schneider, Senior Attorney in the Competition Policy Division; and Tamara Preiss, Chief of the Pricing Policy Division, about the petition for declaratory ruling, pending in this docket.

We supported prompt grant of the petition to ensure that federal law is applied correctly and consistently. Wholesale carriers like Sprint Nextel, we observed, enable competition and benefit the public. Misapplication of section 251 of the Act by some state commissions, and mischaracterization of section 251 obligations by some rural LECs, is frustrating entry, preventing consumer choice, and discouraging investment in rural areas that most need it.

We explained that sections 251(a) and (b) obligate all LECs, even rural carriers, to interconnect with wholesale telecommunications carriers for the exchange of traffic in support of cable telephony or other competitive services. Any questions about the status of VoIP are irrelevant to wholesale carriers' right to interconnection. We further explained that Commission and court precedent show that these wholesale services are not private carriage. We noted that Sprint does not provide its wholesale services under tariff in Nebraska, because such tariff is not required. Sprint likewise does not tariff long distance or wireless services in Nebraska, and is it required to do so. Sprint nevertheless remains a common carrier in offering these services.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, Sprint is filing an electronic copy of this notice for addition to the docket.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Benedict

cc:

Jeremy Miller Jennifer Schneider Tamara Preiss